

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/720,289	Applicant(s) LIU, CHENHSING	
	Examiner David A. Reifsnyder	Art Unit 1723	

All Participants:

(1) David A. Reifsnyder.

(2) David Pai.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 22 June 2006
Time: A.M.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The applicant's representative David Pai stated that no response was filed in response to the office action mailed December 19, 2005, because the case was meant to be abandoned. See the attached Notice of Abandonment

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)